UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re:

Atah H. Akakpo-Martin

Debtor 1

Suson E. Akakpo-Martin

Debtor 2

First National Bank of Pennsylvania

Movant(s)

v.

Atah H. Akakpo-Martin

Suson E. Akakpo-Martin

Respondent(s)

Jack N. Zaharopoulos, Esquire

Standing Chapter 13 Trustee

Additional Respondent

Chapter 13

Case No. 1:21-BK-01810-HWV

Matter: Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay

Document No. 78

DEBTOR(S)' ANSWER TO MOVANT(S)' MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY

AND NOW, come the Debtor(s), Atah H. Akakpo-Martin and Suson E. Akakpo-Martin, through their attorney, Paul D. Murphy-Ahles, Esquire and DETHLEFS PYKOSH & MURPHY, who files the within Debtor(s)' Answer to Movant(s)' Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay and aver as follows:

- 1. Denied. Debtor(s) are without sufficient knowledge as to the truth of the averment as stated in Paragraph 1; therefore, it is denied.
 - 2. Upon information and belief, the averment as stated in Paragraph 2 is admitted.
 - 3. Uon information and belief, the averments as stated in Paragraph 3 are admitted.
 - 4. Admitted.
 - 5. Admitted.
 - 6. Upon information and belief, the averment as stated in Paragraph 6 is admitted.
 - 7. Admitted.
- 8. Denied. Debtor(s) are without sufficient knowledge as to the truth of the averment as stated in Paragraph 8; therefore, it is denied.
- 9. Denied. Debtor(s) are without sufficient knowledge as to the truth of the averment as stated in Paragraph 9; therefore, it is denied.
 - 10. Admitted.

- 11. Admitted.
- 12. Admitted.
- 13. Denied. Debtor(s) are without sufficient knowledge as to the truth of the averment as stated in Paragraph 13; therefore, it is denied.
 - 14. Paragraph 14 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 15. Denied. Debtor(s) are without sufficient knowledge as to the truth of the averment as stated in Paragraph 15; therefore, it is denied.
 - 16. Paragraph 16 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
 - 17. Admitted.
 - 18. Paragraph 18 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is required.
- 19. Denied. Debtor(s) are without sufficient knowledge as to the truth of the averment as stated in Paragraph 19; therefore, it is denied.
 - 20. Upon information and belief, the averment as stated in Paragraph 20 is admitted.
 - 21. Paragraph 21 contains a conclusion of law to which no response is rquired.

WHEREFORE, Debtor(s) requests this Court deny the requested relief.

Respectfully submitted, **DETHLEFS PYKOSH & MURPHY**

Date: January 22, 2025 /s/ Paul D. Murphy-Ahles

Paul D. Murphy-Ahles, Esquire PA ID No. 201207 2132 Market Street Camp Hill, PA 17011 (717) 975-9446 pmurphy@dplglaw.com Attorney for Debtor(s)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

In re:

Atah H. Akakpo-Martin **Debtor 1**

Suson E. Akakpo-Martin

Debtor 2

First National Bank of Pennsylvania

Movant(s)

v.

Atah H. Akakpo-Martin

Suson E. Akakpo-Martin **Respondent(s)**

Jack N. Zaharopoulos, Esquire

Standing Chapter 13 Trustee

Additional Respondent

Chapter 13

Case No. 1:21-BK-01810-HWV

Matter: Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay

Document No. 78

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on Wednesday, January 22, 2025, I served a true and correct copy of the Debtor(s)' Answer to

Movant(s)' Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay in this proceeding via electronic means upon the following:

David Raphael, Esquire
First National Bank of Pennsylvania
626 Washington Place
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Counsel for Movant(s)

Jack N. Zaharopoulos, Esquire Standing Chapter 13 Trustee 8125 Adams Drive, Suite A Hummelstown, PA 17036

Office of the United States Trustee Sylvia H. Rambo United States Courthouse 1501 North Sixth Street, Floor 3 Harrisburg, PA 17102

/s/ Kathryn S. Greene

Kathryn S. Greene, M-Jur., RP®, Pa.C.P. Paralegal for Paul D. Murphy-Ahles, Esquire